

§ 2.733 Examination by experts.

A party may request the presiding officer to permit a qualified individual who has scientific or technical training or experience to participate on behalf of that party in the examination and cross-examination of expert witnesses. The presiding officer may permit such individual to participate on behalf of the party in the examination and cross-examination of expert witnesses, where it would serve the purpose of furthering the conduct of the proceeding, upon finding: (a) That the individual is qualified by scientific or technical training or experience to contribute to the development of an adequate decisional record in the proceeding by the conduct of such examination or cross-examination, (b) that the individual has read any written testimony on which he intends to examine or cross-examine and any documents to be used or referred to in the course of the examination or cross-examination, and (c) that the individual has prepared himself to conduct a meaningful and expeditious examination or cross-examination. Examination or cross-examination conducted pursuant to this section shall be limited to areas within the expertise of the individual conducting the examination or cross-examination. The party on behalf of whom such examination or cross-examination is conducted and his attorney shall be responsible for the conduct of examination or cross-examination by such individuals.

[37 FR 15132, July 28, 1972]

§ 2.734 Motions to reopen.

(a) A motion to reopen a closed record to consider additional evidence will not be granted unless the following criteria are satisfied:

(1) The motion must be timely, except that an exceptionally grave issue may be considered in the discretion of the presiding officer even if untimely presented.

(2) The motion must address a significant safety or environmental issue.

(3) The motion must demonstrate that a materially different result would be or would have been likely had the newly proffered evidence been considered initially.

(b) The motion must be accompanied by one or more affidavits which set forth the factual and/or technical bases for the movant's claim that the criteria of paragraph (a) of this section have been satisfied. Affidavits must be given by competent individuals with knowledge of the facts alleged, or by experts in the disciplines appropriate to the issues raised. Evidence contained in affidavits must meet the admissibility standards set forth in § 2.743(c). Each of the criteria must be separately addressed, with a specific explanation of why it has been met. Where multiple allegations are involved, the movant must identify with particularity each issue it seeks to litigate and specify the factual and/or technical bases which it believes support the claim that this issue meets the criteria in paragraph (a) of this section.

(c) A motion predicated in whole or in part on the allegations of a confidential informant must identify to the presiding officer the source of the allegations and must request the issuance of an appropriate protective order.

(d) A motion to reopen which relates to a contention not previously in controversy among the parties must also satisfy the requirements for nontimely contentions in § 2.714(a)(1) (i) through (v).

[51 FR 19539, May 30, 1986; 51 FR 23523, June 30, 1986]

DEPOSITIONS AND WRITTEN INTERROGATORIES; DISCOVERY; ADMISSION; EVIDENCE

§ 2.740 General provisions governing discovery.

(a) *Discovery methods.* Parties may obtain discovery by one or more of the following methods: Depositions upon oral examination or written interrogatories (§ 2.740a); written interrogatories (§ 2.740b); production of documents or things or permission to enter upon land or other property, for inspection and other purposes (§ 2.741); and requests for admission (§ 2.742).

(b) *Scope of discovery.* Unless otherwise limited by order of the presiding officer in accordance with this section, the scope of discovery is as follows: